



Effect of bio-fertilizers and phosphate fertilization on the vegetative and flowering growth of the Fennel fruits (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill).

Rana Rayyis Arrak¹, Jassim Jawad Jader¹ and Madiha Hamudi Hussain²
1Al-Musaib Technical College ,Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University,Iraq.
2Medical Institute technical Mansour, Middle Technical University,Iraq.
com.jas1@atu.edu.iq

Received:

June 20, 2020

Accepted:

July 13, 2020

Published:

December 01,
2020

Abstract

Factorial trial was conducted out at Al-Watifiyah region 40 km northern Babylon in winter agricultural season 2018 with the aim of knowing the effect of bio-fertilizers and phosphate fertilizers in terms of vegetative and flowering growth of fennel plant (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill), where factorial experiment was applied to Randomized Complete Block Design (R.C.B.D) with three replicates, The first used factor were included the use of four levels of bio-fertilizers, (without addition, mycorrhiza, and *Azotobacter spp* , Mycorrhiza + *Azotobacter spp*). Second factor was the use of four levels of phosphate fertilizer P_2O_5 (0, 40, 60 and 80 $kg.ha^{-1}$). Data were collected, statistically analyzed, and arithmetic averages were compared according to the LSD test, the probability at the level of 5% . Showed that the bio-fertilizers had a results significantly effect on the studied traits, where treatment of *Azotobacter* bacteria and added phosphorus of 60 $kg.ha^{-1}$ excelled and giving the highest average plant height (159.67 cm), number of vegetable branches (15.0 $branch.plant^{-1}$), dry weight of the vegetative growth (12.92 g) . While the interaction of Mycorrhizae and the adding the phosphorous at 60 $kg.ha^{-1}$ achieved a significant increase in the number of umbel (12.67 $umbe.plant^{-1}$), the number of umbellate per umbel (9.67 $florets. Inflorescence^{-1}$), the 1000 grains weight (10.0 g), total fruit yield (1.83 $tons.ha^{-1}$) while the control treatment gave the lowest average of all studied traits.

Key world: *Fenniculum vulgare* Mell, bio-fertilizers , phosphate fertilizers

تأثير التسميد الحيوي والفوسفاتي على النمو الخضري والزهري لنبات الحبة الحلوة *Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.

رنا ريس عراق¹، جاسم جواد جادر¹، مديحه حمودي حسين²

1 جامعة الفرات الأوسط التقنية الكلية التقنية المسيب

2الجامعة التقنية الوسطى / المعهد التقني الطبي المنصور

المستخلص:

نفذت تجربة عاملية في منطقة الوطيفية 40كم شمال بابل للموسم الزراعي الشتوي 2018 بهدف معرفة تأثير المخصبات الإحيائية والتسميد الفوسفاتي في مؤشرات النمو الخضري والزهري لنبات الحبة الحلوة *Foeniculum vulgare* Mill. إذ طبقت تجربة عاملية بتصميم القطاعات الكاملة المعشاة (R.C.B.D) بثلاث مكررات، استعمل فيها عاملين الأول شمل استخدام أربع مستويات من المخصبات الإحيائية وهي المقارنة (بدون إضافة إحيائية)، *Mycorrhizae*، *Azotobacter* spp. وتوليفة من البكتيريا والفطر *Mycorrhizae* + *Azotobacter* أما العامل الثاني فكان استخدام أربعة مستويات من السماد الفوسفاتي P_2O_5 وهي (0، 40، 60 و80 كغم.ه⁻¹). جمعت البيانات وحللت إحصائياً ورتبت المتوسطات الحسابية وفق اختبار أقل فرق معنوي LSD على مستوى احتمالية 5%.

أظهرت النتائج أن للمخصبات الإحيائية تأثيراً معنوياً في الصفات المدروسة إذ تفوقت معاملة البكتيريا *Azotobacter* وإضافة الفوسفور بالمستوى 60 كغم.ه⁻¹ في إعطاء أعلى متوسط لارتفاع النبات (159.67سم)، عدد الأفرع الخضرية (15.0 فرعاً)، الوزن الجاف للمجموع الخضري (12.92 غم) بينما حقق تداخل المخصب الإحيائي *Mycorrhizae* وإضافة الفوسفور بالمستوى 60 كغم.ه⁻¹ زيادة معنوية في عدد النورات الزهرية (12.67 نورة)، عدد الزهيرات في النورة الواحدة (9.67 زهيرة)، وزن ألف حبة (10.0 غم)، فيما أعطت معاملة المقارنة أقل متوسط للصفات المدروسة جميعها.
الكلمات المفتاحية : الحبة الحلوة ، المخصب الحيوي، التسميد الفوسفاتي.

Introduction:

Fennel is a medicinal aromatic plant that *Foeniculum vulgare* propagation with seeds and has a rectangular or oval shape. The Mediterranean region is the original country for it and is grown, especially the regions that have moderate temperatures for commercial purposes and known globally as Fennel as they are called by different names in the countries that produce, and they are used since ancient times in medicine and it is one of the plants traded in Ancient Egyptian, Greek, Roman and Chinese civilizations (Akbar, 2018). Fennel crop is cultivated in many parts of the world where plants for the purposes of spices and in other parts were exploited as spices of taking advantage of oil, which is one of the oldest medicinal plants used, and in Iraq its cultivation is limited to a small number of small holders and small areas in the center and north and its level of production remained below the required level due to lack of methods, The correct scientific method for performing field practices related



to its cultivation (AL-Snafi, 2018). Fertilization processes are among the important means that lead to increasing the yield of fruits and oil and improving its physical properties. For the purpose of avoiding the use of chemical fertilizers and the trend towards clean agriculture, those concerned have now turned towards the use of bio-fertilizers in order to move away from the harmful components of health and the environment and for the purpose of producing a crop free of any chemical pollutant of the ability. These organisms increase the growth and development of plants and inhibit the growth of pathogenic microorganisms while contributing to resistance to different stress conditions (Mahanty et al., 2016 and Tomer et al., 2018). In view of the lack of scientific and field studies specialized in studying the effect of biological and phosphate fertilization on plant growth and its medical and economic importance in Iraq, this study has been proposed with the aim of studying the effect of different types of bio-fertilizers by interaction with the adding of different levels of phosphorus on the growth foliage and umbel traits of the fennel plant.

Materials and methods:

A factorial field experiment was conducted out in the agricultural season 2018-2019 in Al-Watifiya region (40 km north Babylon province), where the seeds of the plant were planted in soil with known properties (table 1). The experimental land was tilled with a moldboard plow, and then leveling and smoothing were performed. The experiment was conducted on 10/15/2018 and the cultivation was done by placing (3-4) seeds in each hole with a distance of 25 cm between one hole and another. The bio-fertilizers, which were obtained from the Agricultural Research Department of the Ministry of Science and Technology, were added at four levels, namely (without addition, mycorrhiza, and *Azotobacter spp.*, Mycorrhiza + *Azotobacter spp.*). By 5 g per pit.

Table 1: Physical and chemical properties of field soils

Units	Values	Traits	
—	7.9	pH	
ds ^m ⁻¹	2.8	EC	
gm.kg ⁻¹	9.3	Ca	Dissolved positive ions
gm.kg ⁻¹	5.9	Na	
gm.kg ⁻¹	0.31	K	
gm.kg ⁻¹	93	Total nitrogen	
gm.kg ⁻¹	19.2	Organic matter	
gm.kg ⁻¹	238.7	sand	soil separates
gm.kg ⁻¹	362.1	Silt	
gm.kg ⁻¹	399.2	Clay	
	clay loam	Soil Texture	
gm.m ⁻³	1.42	Bulk density	

Where the strength of spores for the fungus reached 40 g. spores⁻¹ and the vaccine strength of bacteria was 2.8×10^{10} per g. Load the fungal vaccine onto the cultivated



medium of peat moss (35 g per 1 g of soil), taking into account that it is close to the seed inside the pit, while the bacterial vaccine was liquid. As the seeds were soaked in it for an hour, and Arabic gum was applied to fix it on the surface of the seeds to be cultivated. After a month of cultivated, the seeds with the bacterial vaccine were reinforced again. With the process of thinning the plants by leaving one plant in its pit after the height of the plants (10 cm). The weeds were controlled by manual hoeing, bearing in mind that the experiment land was almost empty of weeds. The plants were harvested on (20/5/2019) after the fruits ripened and were stained with olive color and before complete drying. With the process of thinning the plants by leaving one plant in its pit after the height of the plants (10 cm). The weeds were controlled by manual hoeing, bearing in mind that the experiment land was almost empty of weeds. The plants were harvested on (20/5/2019) after the fruits ripened and were stained with olive color and before complete drying. All agricultural practices used in this crop were conducted from irrigation, weeding, and the number of plants in pit to 2 or 3 plants. Then the studied traits at the end of the growing season were measured on five plants that were randomly taken in each experimental unit that included the plant height (cm), The number of vegetative branches (branch.plant^{-1}), the dry weight of the vegetative growth(g), the number of umbel (umbel.plant^{-1}), number of umbellate in per umbel ($\text{umbellate.umbel}^{-1}$), The 1000 grains weight (g) , total fruit yield (tons.ha^{-1}). Statistical analysis taking, arranging and tabulating the measurements, the statistical analysis was conducted using Excel on the computer To factorial experiment with the Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) as reported by Al-Rawi and Khalaf Allah (1980) and using LSD test to compare the mean of the coefficients for each source of variance with significant effect.

Results and discussion:

plant height (cm):

Data in Table (2) shows that there were significant differences between the bio-fertilizer treatments for the plant height, where the treatment of bacterial adding A2 (Azotobacter) significantly excelled on the rest treatments and gave the highest average of (159.75 cm), while treatment A0 (without fertilizer) gave the lowest average this trait 134.42 cm. As for phosphate fertilizer, treatment B2 (60 kg.ha^{-1}) was significantly excelled on the rest treatments and gave the highest average (159.17 cm). compared to treatment B0 (without fertilizer) gave the lowest average (137.08 cm). The interaction of the two factors of the experiment had a significant effect in this trait, where the data of the same table showed that the A2B2 treatment was significantly excelled and gave the highest average of (168.00 cm) followed by the A3B2 interaction (167.67 cm) without differing significantly. Where, treatment A0B0 gave the lowest average value (126.33 cm) without having significant differences with the treatment of interaction according to the conditions of the experiment. This significant increase due to the induction of the bio-fertilizer, the increase synthesis of activated growth regulators such as Auxin, Cytokinins, gibberellins and kinase that contribute to increase cell division and expansion and elongation of plant tissues, resulted in increase plant height (Abu Saud et al., 2017).



Table 2: Effect of Bio fertilizers and Phosphate fertilizers and their interaction on plant height trait(cm).

phosphate fertilizers					bio fertilizers
aver- age	B3	B2	B1	B0	
134.75	135.67	139.67	137.33	126.33	A0
150.67	153.00	161.33	158.33	130.00	A1
159.75	159.33	168.00	159.67	152.00	A2
155.42	154.67	167.67	159.33	140.00	A3
	150.67	159.17	153.67	137.08	average
A , B 2.995 AB5.99					L.S.D.

Number of vegetative branches (branch.plant⁻¹):

Table (3) shows that the bio-fertilizer achieved significant differences in the number of vegetative branches, where treatment A2 significantly excelled on the rest treatments and gave the highest average of (14.58 branch.plant⁻¹) compared to the control treatment 9.08 (branch.plant⁻¹). As for phosphate fertilizer, treatment B2 was significantly excelled on the rest treatments and gave the highest average of (13.50 branch.plant⁻¹) compared to the control treatment that gave the lowest average of (9.92 branch.plant⁻¹). As for the interaction of the experiment factors, the interaction (A2B2 and A2B3) excelled and given (15,33,15. branch.plant⁻¹) Respectively without significant difference with (A2B1 and A3B2) which registered (15.00branch.plant⁻¹). Where the interaction treatments A0B1 gave the lowest average value of (8.33 branch.plant⁻¹) without significantly differing with the control treatment and the interaction A1B0. The two records a revised average of (8.67 branch.plant⁻¹) for each of them. The reason for the significantly excelled can be due to that this species is one of the stimulating species for the growth of many plants and for various plant families where it has a positive effect on growth and this may explain the role of this type of bacteria in the secretion of many compounds that improve physiological processes, It also increases the susceptibility of the roots to the absorption of water, Micro and Macronutrients, and this, in turn, has a positive effect on plant growth and development (Kamil et al., 2008).

Table3: Effect of Bio fertilizers and Phosphate fertilizers and their interaction on The number of vegetative branches (branch.plant⁻¹).

phosphate fertilizers					bio fertilizers
average	B3	B2	B1	B0	
9.08	9.00	10.33	8.33	8.67	A0
11.25	11.67	13.33	11.33	8.67	A1
14.58	15.33	15.33	15.00	12.67	A2
12.67	13.67	15.00	12.33	9.67	A3
	12.42	13.50	11.75	9.92	average
A , B 0.571 AB1.141					L.S.D.



Dry weight of Vegetable growth (g):

Table (4) indicates the treatment A2 significantly excelled, where it gave the highest average dry weight of Vegetable growth amounted to (12.92 g), without significant difference with the treatment A3 that was recorded (11.08 g), while treatment A0 gave the lowest average value (7.83 g). As for phosphate fertilizer, treatment B2 was significantly excelled and gave the highest average of (12.17 g), where treatment B0 gave the lowest average of (9.01 g) without significantly differing with treatment B1 which gave (9.47 g). The interaction of the two study factors significantly increased the A2B2 interaction significantly and gave the highest average of (15.33 g) compared to the control treatment that recorded the lowest average of (6.03 g). The improvement of the metabolic and physiological processes of the plant is due to the activity of the bacteria that caused a significant response in the vegetative characteristics reflected in the vegetative growth of the plant. Perhaps this response may be due to the processing of plants with atmospherically proven nitrogen, as these bacteria have a high ability to Nitrogen fixation freely, which meets the necessities of the plant for this. The necessary element that is included in many activities in addition to its entry into the composition of many important organelles such as chlorophyll, proteins, amino acids, organic and nuclear DNA, and its effect is beneficial in increasing the growth of the vegetative system. Furthermore compensating for the rapid loss of the nitrogen component where a result of the rapid solubility of some easily soluble nitrogenous compounds, this positively increases the fertility of the soil and preserves its fertile components, which benefits the growth and development of the plants growing there (Al Abbasi and Al-Zuhairi, 2018).

Table 4: Effect of Bio fertilizers and Phosphate fertilizers and their interaction on the dry weight of the vegetative growth(g).

phosphate fertilizers					bio fertilizers
average	B3	B2	B1	B0	
7.83	8.33	10.00	6.97	6.03	A0
9.67	10.00	9.67	9.67	9.33	A1
12.92	13.67	15.33	12.00	10.67	A2
11.08	10.90	13.67	10.33	10.00	A3
	10.58	12.17	9.47	9.01	average
A , B 0.846 AB1.693					L.S.D.

Number of umbel (umbel.plant⁻¹):

Table (5) indicates the excelled of treatment A1 significantly and gave the highest average number of inflorescences (14.00 inflorescences . plant⁻¹), where treatment A0 gave the lowest average of 10.58 inflorescences . plant⁻¹. As for phosphate fertilizer, treatment B2 excelled significantly and gave the highest average for this trait amounted to (13.33 inflorescences . plant⁻¹) without having significant differences with treatment B3 recorded (12.42 inflorescences . plant⁻¹), while treatment B0 gave the lowest average of (11.58 inflorescences . plant⁻¹). As for the interaction between the levels of biological and phosphate fertilizer, the A1B2 interaction excelled and



gave the highest average of (15.33 inflorescences. plant⁻¹), while the control treatment A0B1 gave the lowest average of (10.33 inflorescences . plant⁻¹). The above results indicate the significant effect of bio-fertilizer on the components of flowering growth when pollinated with mycorrhiza fungi, which can be due to its role in increasing the absorption of macronutrients, which leads to increased cell division and elongation and an increase in the surface area of the leaves, which in turn leads to increased food and carbohydrate production and thus increases Of the size of the vegetative and flowering growth resulting in increased flower diameter (Tsavkelov et al., 2006 and Shaheen et al., 2007).

Table 5: Effect of Bio fertilizers and Phosphate fertilizers and their interaction on the number of umbel (umbel.plant⁻¹).

phosphate fertilizers					bio fertilizers
average	B3	B2	B1	B0	
10.58	10.33	11.33	10.33	10.33	A0
14.00	14.33	15.33	12.67	13.67	A1
11.67	11.00	13.00	12.33	10.33	A2
13.08	14.00	13.67	12.67	12.00	A3
	12.42	13.33	12.00	11.58	average
A , B	0.613	AB1.225			L.S.D.

Number of florets in the umbellate (umbellate.umbel⁻¹).

Table (6) shows the treatment significantly excelled and gave the highest average number of florets in the inflorescence reached (10.17 florets. inflorescence⁻¹), where treatment A0 gave the lowest average of (7.25 florets. inflorescence⁻¹) without significantly differing with treatment A2 that was recorded (7.83 florets. inflorescence⁻¹). Concerning phosphate fertilizer, treatment B2 was significantly excelled and gave the highest average number of florets in the inflorescence (9.67 florets. inflorescence⁻¹), while treatment B0 gave the lowest average (7.42 florets. inflorescence⁻¹). With regard to the interaction between the levels of biological and phosphate fertilizer, the two interaction treatments A1B2 and A3B2 were excelled and gave the highest average of (10.67 florets.inflorescence⁻¹), while the treatment A2B0 gave the lowest average number of florets in inflorescence reached (6.00 florets. inflorescence⁻¹).

Table 6: Effect of Bio fertilizers and Phosphate fertilizers and their interaction on number of umbellate per umbel (florets.inflorescences⁻¹)

phosphate fertilizers					bio fertilizers
average	B3	B2	B1	B0	
7.25	7.33	7.67	7.00	7.00	A0
10.17	10.33	10.67	9.67	10.00	A1
7.83	8.33	9.67	7.33	6.00	A2
8.75	9.33	10.67	8.33	6.67	A3
	8.83	9.67	8.08	7.42	average
A , B	0.630	AB1.26			L.S.D.



1000 grains weight (g.plant⁻¹):

Table (7) indicates the treatment A1 significantly excelled and gave the highest average of (10.33 g.plant⁻¹), while the treatment A0 gave the lowest average value (7.00 g.plant⁻¹). As for phosphate fertilizer, treatment B2 was significantly excelled to the control treatment only, without having significant differences with the rest of the treatments, where it gave the highest average The 1000 grains weight amounted to (9.25 g.plant⁻¹), Where, treatment B0 gave the lowest average of(8.08 g.plant⁻¹). As for the interaction between the levels of bio-fertilizer and phosphate, the interaction treatment A1B2 excelled and gave the highest amount (11.67 g.plant⁻¹), while the control treatment gave the lowest average of (6.67 g.plant⁻¹), The reason may be due to the ability of mycorrhiza fungi to produce plant hormones, such as gibberellins and Auxin, which have a role in regulating and improving plant growth and then increasing synthetic carbohydrates. Cytokinin also plays an important role in improving yield and flowering traits (Jassim et al., 2014).

Table 7: Effect of Bio fertilizers and Phosphate fertilizers and their interaction on 1000 grains weight (g).

phosphate fertilizers					bio fertilizers
average	B3	B2	B1	B0	
7.00	7.33	7.00	7.00	6.67	A0
10.33	10.00	11.67	10.00	9.67	A1
8.25	8.33	8.00	9.33	7.33	A2
9.50	9.67	10.33	9.33	8.67	A3
	8.83	9.25	8.92	8.08	average
A , B	0.57	AB1.141			L.S.D.

Total fruit yield (tons. ha⁻¹):

Table (8) shows the treatment A1 significantly excelled on the rest of treatments and gave the highest average of (1.46 tons. ha⁻¹), while treatment A0 gave the lowest average of (0.71 tons. ha⁻¹). As for phosphate fertilizer, treatment B2 was significantly excelled and gave the highest average total fruit yield of (1.27 tons. ha⁻¹). While treatment B0 achieved the lowest average value for this trait of (0.91 tons. ha⁻¹). As for the interaction of the two study factors, the results of the same table showed the excelled of the A1B2 interaction and gave the highest average of (1.83 tons. ha⁻¹), while the control treatment gave the lowest average of (0.61 tons. ha⁻¹). The increase in yield components may be due to bio-fertilizer containing PGPR, which led to the promotion of growth thereby the strategies that the biochemical and physiological processes, especially the availability of nutrients, increased plant resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses, and the production of different growth regulators and chelating compounds (Allawi, 2013). The increase in the traits of growth, the number of vegetative branches, and the number of umbels were clearly reflected in the indicators of the plant yield, which led to a significant increase, Moreover, the increase in the materials manufactured in leaves represented by proteins and carbohydrates where a result of the effectiveness of soil microorganisms, was clearly reflected in the construc-



tion of plant tissues, which consequently improved the level of vegetative and flowering growth by providing balanced nutrients that improved their growth impact (Al-Nuaimi, 2008).

Table 8: Effect of Bio fertilizers and Phosphate fertilizers and their interaction on total fruit yield (tons.ha⁻¹).

average	phosphate fertilizers				bio fertilizers
	B3	B2	B1	B0	
0.71	0.75	0.78	0.69	0.61	A0
1.46	1.42	1.83	1.28	1.30	A1
0.96	0.91	1.03	1.14	0.74	A2
1.23	1.33	1.43	1.18	1.00	A3
	1.10	1.27	1.07	0.91	average
A , B	0.104	AB0.207			L.S.D.

References:

- Abo El Seoud, Islam Ibrahim, Ilham Abdul- Mina'im Badr, Mona Mohamed Yousry, and Al Shaimaa Abdel Mawla El Sayed. (2017).** Vital fertilizers hopes and aspirations. Alexandria University, Arab Republic of Egypt. 236 pages.
- Alrawi, Khashi Mahmoud and Abdul Aziz Muhammad Khalaf Allah, (1980).** Design and analysis of agricultural experiments. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, University of Mosul. The Republic of Iraq.
- Al-Abbasi, Ghaleb Bhyu Aboud and Faris Faisal Abdul-Ghani Al-Zuhairi.(2018).** The effect of organic and organic fertilization on the growth of Citrus grandis L. grafted seedlings on different origins. Kufa Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 10 (2): 39--61.
- Al-Nuaimi, Sala Basem Ismail Mustafa. (2008).** Principles of plant nutrition (translated). The second edition, Dar Al Kutub Printing and Publishing Directorate, University of Mosul. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Republic of Iraq.
- Jassem, Ahmed Abdel-Jabbar, Hussein Arnous Faraj and Nabil Jawad Kazim. (2014).** Tomato production under the integrated cultivation system, the effect of phosphatic, organic and biological fertilizing on the specific characteristics of fruits and phosphorous concentration of the tomato plant Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. Diyala Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 6 (2): 236-247.
- Allawi, Muhammad Mustafa. (2013).** The effect of biological, organic and chemical fertilization on the architectural structure of roots and the growth and yield of the pepper plant Capsicum annum L.. PhD thesis. faculty of Agriculture. Baghdad University. The Republic of Iraq.



- Akbar, S. (2018).** Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.): A common spice with unique medicinal properties. *Annals of Complementary and Alternative Medicine*. Review Article. 1 (1) :1 - 9.
- Al-Snafi, A. E. (2018).** The chemical constituents and pharmacological effects of *Foeniculum vulgare* – A review. *IOSR J. of Phrm.*, 8 (5): 81–96.
- Mahanty, T., S. Bhattacharjee, M. Goswani, P. Bhattacharyya, B. Das, A. Ghosh and Tribedi, P. (2016).** Bio fertilizers: a potential approach for sustainable agriculture development. Review Article. *Environ Sci. Pollut Res.*, 1–22.
- Tomer, S., D. C. Suyal and Goel, R. (2018).** Bio fertilizers: A timely approach for sustainable agriculture., *Plant Microbe Interaction.*, 17: 375–195.
- Kamil, P.; K. D. Yami and Singh, A. (2008).** Plant Growth Promotional Effect of *Azotobacter chroococcum*, *Piriformospora indica* and Vermi compost on Rice Plant. *Nepal J. Sci. & Tech.*, (9): 85–90.
- Shaheen; A. M; F. A. Rizk and Singer, S. M. (2007).** Growing onion plant without chemical fertilization. *J. Agric. Biol. Sci.*, 3(2): 95–104.
- Tsavkelova, E. A; S. Y. Klimova; T. A. Cherdyntseva and Netrusov, A. I. (2006).** Microbial producers of plant growth stimulators and their practical use: a review. *Appl. Biochem. Micro.*, 42: 117-126.